Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/834,668	AZADET ET AL.
····· ,	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Torres	2133
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>New Case</u>	
(1) <u>Joseph D. Torres</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin M. Mason</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>12 March 2004</u>	Time: <u>8:05am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-40		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER During a telephone conversation with Kevin M. Mason on 12 Marc prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-18, 37 and 38.		
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview.
(Examiner/SPE/Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)